Data Science

Lecture 4: Structured Data Processing

(Decision Tree and Random Forest)

Lecturer: Yen-Chia Hsu

Date: Feb 2025

1

This lecture will introduce the Decision Tree and Random Forest models.

Recall that in the structured data processing module, we used air quality sensor data in the past to predict the presence of bad odors in the future.

 \blacksquare

No Event \geq <

Structured data generally means the type of data that has standardized formats and well-defined structures (i.e., those that can be stored in a relational database).

Samples of Citizen-Contributed Smell Reports

These are technically not structured.

Samples of Air Quality Sensor Measurements

Mathematically speaking, we want to estimate a function f that can map feature X to label y such that the prediction $f(X)$ is close to y as much as possible.

We have learned the concept of training a linear classifier algorithm to minimize an error metric to classify spam and

 x_1 : number of special chara

Rosenblatt's Perceptron Learning Algorithm: section 4.5.1 in book https://h

Not every model is trained using a general optimization algorithm. In this lecture, we will introduce Decision Tree, which has a different training mechanism.

Unlike the linear classifier (which has a linear decision boundary), Decision Tree has a non-linear decision boundary that iteratively partitions the feature space.

Non-linear Decision Boundary

For simplicity, assume that all features are binary. We can use domain knowledge to determine if H_2S can be detected by human nose (e.g., larger than 0.03 ppm).

In order to guess whether the smell will be bad in the future ("yes" or "no"), suppose we are only allowed to ask binary questions in a sequence.

- Q: Is the hourly averaged H_2S reading (at the Liberty monitor) noticeable two hours ago by human nose?
- A: YES
- Q: Is the hourly averaged wind direction from south (at the Parkway monitor) one hour ago?
- A: YES
- Q: Is the hourly averaged wind direction from east (at the Lawrenceville monitor) one hour ago?
- A: NO
- We predict that bad smell will happen within 8 hours.

If we could only ask one question, which question would we ask? We want to use the most useful feature that can give us the most information to help us guess.

- Is PM noticeable?
- Is $SO₂$ noticeable?
- Is wind strong?
- Is wind turbulent?
- Is south wind?
- Is morning time?
- \bullet ……

Suppose we want to compare two features when predicting bad smell, as shown below. Which one is better? How can we quantify which feature gives the most information?

Exercise 4.1: For each split, compute the misclassification error rates (between 0 and 1) for the best guess (BAD or OK) that we can make after guessing the answer.

13

We can use the same strategy to iteratively select the best for ϵ

Algorithm source -- A Course in Machine Learning by Hal Daume (obtained from http

There are also other methods to quantify information, such as entropy.

Suppose we have a coin: one side has label "BAD", and and The entropy H intuitively means the averaged surprise wh

More information about entropy -- https://statquest.org/entropy-for-da

We want a small entropy. Entropy is zero when the coin al reaches the maximum when the coin is fair, meaning two

90 10 O K BAD 70 30 O K BAD 50 50 O K BAD = 0.1 ⋅ log" 1 0.1 ⁺ 0.9 [⋅] log" 1 0.9 = [0.47](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Entropy_(information_theory)) = 0.3 ⋅ log" 1 0.3 ⁺ 0.7 [⋅] log" 1 0.7 = 0.88 = 0.5 ⋅ log" 1 0.5 ⁺ 0.5 [⋅] log" 1 0.5 = 1 100 0 O K BAD = 0 + 1 ⋅ log" 1 1 = 0

Image source -- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Entropy_(information)

When splitting the parent node, we can use the averaged entropy of the leaf nodes to measure and quantify the information that each feature gives.

We can also use information gain to measure the reduction in uncertainty after the split.

 H_{parent} = entropy of the parent node = 0.47

 H_{leaf} = averaged entropy of leaves = 0.08

Information Gain $= H_{parent} - H_{leaf}$ $= 0.47 - 0.08$ $= 0.39$

We can stop splitting when the information gain is too small for the best feature, which means splitting the node does not give a reasonable reduction of error (or uncertainty).

Parent node:

- Misclassification error $= 0.17$
- Entropy $= 0.65$

Leaf nodes:

- Total misclassification error $= 0.17$
- Averaged entropy = 0.65

Exercise 4.2: Why is using the misclassification error as the node-splitting strategy not a good idea when training a decision tree?

• Averaged entropy = 0.43

• Averaged entropy $= 0.32$

The misclassification error is not very sensitive to changes to zero information gain, as shown in the bottom right gra

Figure source -- https://tushaargvs.github.io/assets/teaching/

Below is an example of zero information gain when using the misclassification error to split nodes. The tree at the bottom left corresponds to the graph at the right.

Decision Tree also works on continuous features but requires one method is to bin the continuous values and treat each

More information about Decision Tree -- https://statquest.org/decision-and-cl

Decision Tree can overfit easily. To combat overfitting, we can stop splitting a node when it reaches the maximum tree depth or does not have a minimum sample size.

Limit max depth but allow a small sample size.

Limit max depth and restrict sample size.

We can also mitigate the overfitting problem of the Decision Tree by using the bagging technique, which is an ensemble of multiple trees, such as the Random Forest model.

The bagging technique for the Random Forest model use and bootstrapped samples (i.e., sampling with replaceme

Figure source -- https://dataaspirant.com/ensemble-methods-baggir

Why and how does the bagging technique work in dealing with overfitting?

Statistically speaking, the classifier that we trained is one of the all possible classifiers (i.e., drawn from a statistical distribution). We can sample many datasets D with pairs of features x and labels y. For all D, we can train a set of models $\{h_{D_1}(x),...,h_{D_m}(x)\}.$

The generalization errors of a model can be decomposed

Overfitting comes from training a very complex model that overfitting and underfitting means that the model does no

Figure source -- http://scott.fortmann-roe.com/docs/Bias

In practice, we estimate the generalization error using a validation set (i.e., validation error). Overfitting usually happens when the model has a low training error but a high validation [error.](https://uniathena.com/understanding-bias-variance-tradeoff-balance-model-performance)

We can use the weak law of large numbers to reduce the

$$
\underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(h_D(x)-y)^2]}_{\text{Error}} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(h_D(x)-\bar{h}(x))^2]}_{\text{Variance}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(\bar{h}(x)-y)]^2}_{\text{Biasive}}
$$

Our goal is to reduce the variance term: $\mathbb{E}[(h_D(x) - \bar{h}(x))^2]$. For this, we want $h_D \rightarrow \bar{h}$.

The weak law of large numbers says (roughly) for i.i.d. random vari

$$
\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m x_i \to \bar{x} \text{ as } m \to \infty
$$

Apply this to classifiers: Assume we have m training sets D_1, D_2, \ldots classifier on each one and average result:

$$
\hat h = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m h_{D_i} \to \bar h \qquad as \ m\; \cdot
$$

We refer to such an average of multiple classifiers as an ensemble

More about bagging -- https://www.cs.cornell.edu/courses/cs4780/2018

Bagging is one of the ensemble learning methods, where combined into a stronger classifier using various techniqu

Figure source -- https://mathchi.medium.com/weak-learners-strong-learners-for-

Not everything in the data is learnable, and we typically c example, smell reports could come from sources that may

Source of the right photo -- https://unsplash.com/photos/

Reducing the dimensions using PCA (Principal Components Analysis) can be a good idea for reducing computational cost or visualizing data. However, explaining what these reduced dimensions (i.e., principal components) mean becomes hard.

PCA finds a new orthogonal coordinate system by rotating directions (i.e., principal components) that capture the lar

Figure source -- https://setosa.io/ev/principal-component

PCA minimizes the sum of squared perpendicular distance and the line, while linear regression minimizes the sum of

Figure source -- https://web.stanford.edu/class/cs16

PCA is a form of unsupervised learning, which means learning Classification/regression are supervised learning techniques

Source of the top-right figure -- https://developers.google.com/machine

Take-Away Messages

- Decision Tree has a non-linear decision boundary.
- Decision Tree can overfit easily. You can limit the tree depth or sample size to mitigate the problem.
- Entropy and misclassification error can help us find the best feature to split a tree node.
- Entropy is the averaged surprise from flipping a coin with two classes (in the binary setting).
- We want to see the entropy as small as possible after splitting a tree node.
- Random Forest can be seen as a committee of Decision Tree models.
- The bagging technique can reduce the variance term in the error by combining multiple models.
- We can use PCA to reduce dimensions for visualizing data or reducing computational cost.

